

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

DEVELOPMENT OF A REPORTER GENE ASSAY TO IDENTIFY CONTROL
ELEMENTS REQUIRED FOR DOSAGE COMPENSATION IN *DROSOPHILA*
MELANOGASTER

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science in Genetics
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Helen Fitzsimons
1998

ABSTRACT

Dosage compensation (equalisation of X-linked gene products) occurs in *Drosophila melanogaster* by a two-fold transcriptional increase of X-linked gene expression in the male. This involves the binding of four proteins, MSL-1, MSL-2, MSL-3 and MLE (collectively known as the MSLs), to hundreds of sites along the length of the male X. The MSLs are thought to recruit MOF, a histone acetyl transferase, which facilitates the increase in transcriptional activity of X-linked genes. The DNA sequences required to target the MSL complex to the X chromosome (known as dosage compensation regulatory elements, or DCREs) remain elusive, despite numerous attempts over the last ten years to identify them. DCREs are thought to be present at multiple sites along the length of the X chromosome, as antibodies to the MSLs bind to hundreds of sites along the X, and autosomal genes transduced to the X usually become dosage compensated.

The first objective of this study was to develop a reporter gene assay to screen for DCREs that would minimise problems previously encountered. A construct consisting of the constitutive *armadillo* promoter fused to the *lacZ* reporter gene (called *arm-lacZ*) was flanked by insulator elements which block the repressive effects of the autosomal chromatin environment. Fragments of X-linked DNA were inserted upstream of the *armadillo* promoter with the premise that males carrying one copy of an autosomal insertion of this construct would express twice the level of β -galactosidase as females. Transgenic flies carrying autosomal insertions of X-linked fragments plus *arm-lacZ* were generated and one dose males and females were assayed for β -galactosidase activity using a spectrophotometric assay. In all cases, males and females expressed the same level of *lacZ*. This suggests that no DCREs that could confer dosage compensation onto *arm-lacZ* were present in the X-linked fragments. *arm-lacZ* is capable of being dosage compensated as males and females carrying one copy of an X-linked insertion of *arm-lacZ* produce a 2:1 male to female ratio. This implies that DCREs of the 'strength' required to dosage compensate *arm-lacZ* are rarer than previously thought.

A second method of dosage compensation that is independent of the MSLs is thought to occur in *Drosophila*. The X-linked gene *runt* is dosage compensated in the absence

of the MSLs. It is possible that *runt* is sex specifically regulated by the female specific *Sex lethal* protein (*Sxl*). *Sxl* down-regulates *msl-2* in females by binding to (U)₈ or A(U)₇ sequences in the *msl-2* 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of the mRNA. *runt* mRNA contains three *Sxl* binding sites in its 3' UTR, as do 20 other X-linked genes. The second objective of this project was to determine if *Sxl* could down regulate a gene in females, purely by the addition of three *Sxl* binding sites to the 3' UTR. *Sxl* binding sites were inserted into the 3' UTR of *arm-lacZ* in the form of a 40 bp synthetic linker containing three of the sites, and also as a 170 bp fragment from the *runt* 3' UTR. β -galactosidase assays of flies carrying the *Sxl* binding sites from *runt* showed that males expressed an average of 1.31 to 1.46 times the level of *lacZ* than females. This shows that *Sxl* can down-regulate a gene if there are *Sxl* binding sites in its 3' UTR, however, to achieve two-fold regulation, additional factors may be required, or topologically, the sites may not have been in the right position in the 3' UTR for optimal activity of *Sxl*. Flies carrying the synthetic linker expressed the same level of β -galactosidase in both sexes which suggests that either additional elements within the 3' UTR are required, or that the spacing between the sites is critical for the action of *Sxl*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A big thank you to my supervisor, Dr. Max Scott for your brilliant guidance, friendliness and for always being available to give help and advice. I really appreciate it.

Also many thanks to my family for all your love and support and for showing an interest in my work. I would like especially to thank Dad for patiently fixing my endless computer problems which would have otherwise driven me insane!

To the Blands (and Lesley), thanks for your encouragement and support and for yummy Sunday dinners. Special thanks to Chris for the barbecuing lessons (I hope to get my BBQ - Bachelor of Barbecuing - soon!) and to Marian for your enthusiasm and for being a mother figure, it means a lot to me.

To the friends I have made at Massey - Kirsty, BJ, Kathryn, James, Amanda and many others, thanks for your friendship, we have had heaps of fun times and I will miss the friendly Massey atmosphere.

To everyone in the Fly Spot, past and present - Max, Kathryn, Sheralee, Lewis, Xuelel, Jörg and Rebecca, a great team of friendly people who helped make my time in the lab really enjoyable. I won't miss the blowflies, though!!

Thank you to Massey and the (former) Department of Microbiology and Genetics for providing me with funding which has made my Master's a lot easier.

Finally, to my fiancé Ross, thanks for your love, encouragement, friendship and emails that I looked forward to each day. See you in Auckland!

ABBREVIATIONS

β	beta
Δ	delta
λ	lambda
bp	base pairs
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degrees Celsius
dNTP	dinucleotide triphosphate
DNase	deoxyribonuclease
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
F	female
g	gram
kb	kilobase pairs
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
μ	micro
m	milli
M	male
M	molar
min	minute
nm	nanometer
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
RNase	ribonuclease
RNA	ribonucleic acid
rpm	revolutions per minute
UV	ultra violet
U	units
UTR	untranslated region
V	volts
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	IV
ABBREVIATIONS.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XIII
LIST OF TABLES.....	XIV
 1. INTRODUCTION.....	 1
1.1 OVERVIEW OF DOSAGE COMPENSATION.....	1
1.2 DOSAGE COMPENSATION IN DROSOPHILA.....	1
1.2.1 Dosage Compensation Involves Transcriptional Up Regulation.	1
1.2.2 Dosage Compensation Requires <i>trans</i> -acting Proteins	2
1.3 THE MALE-SPECIFIC LETHALS	3
1.4 HISTONE ACETYLATION AND DOSAGE COMPENSATION.	4
1.4.1 Histone Acetylation and Transcriptional Activity	4
1.4.2 MOF - A Putative Histone Acetyl Transferase	5
1.5 NON CODING RNAS ARE INVOLVED IN DOSAGE COMPENSATION	6
1.6 REGULATION OF DOSAGE COMPENSATION	7
1.6.1 <i>Sxl</i> May Mediate a Second Method of Dosage Compensation.....	9
1.7 <i>CIS</i> -ACTING REGULATORY SEQUENCES	11
1.7.1 Dosage Compensation Regulatory Elements (DCREs).....	11
1.7.2 DCREs Have Not Yet Been Identified	12
1.7.3 Mono and Dinucleotide Repeats Correlate with Dosage Compensation.....	12
1.7.4 Why Have No DCREs Been Identified?	13
1.8 INSULATOR SEQUENCES ENHANCE EXPRESSION OF X-LINKED GENES AT AUTOSOMAL SITES	 14
1.8.1 Suppressor of Hairy-wing Protects <i>mini-white</i> from Position Effects	14
1.8.2 Specialised Chromatin Structures (SCS and SCS ') are Domain Boundaries	15

1.9 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	15
1.9.1 A New Approach to Identifying DCREs	16
1.9.2 Evaluation of the Role of <i>Sxl</i> in Dosage Compensation	17
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS	18
2.1 PLASMIDS AND COSMIDS	18
2.2 BACTERIAL STRAIN.....	18
2.3 BACTERIAL MEDIA	18
2.3.1 Luria Broth (LB)	18
2.3.2 Terrific Broth (TB).....	18
2.3.3 SOB.....	18
2.3.4 SOC	18
2.3.5 2 x YT	22
2.3.6 Antibiotics and Media Additives	22
2.4 MAINTENANCE OF BACTERIAL CULTURES	22
2.5 FLY STOCKS AND TRANSGENIC FLY STOCKS.....	22
2.6 FLY MEDIA	22
2.6.1 Cornmeal Agar.....	22
2.6.2 Formula 4-24 (Carolina Biological Supply Company).....	25
2.7 MAINTENANCE OF FLY STOCKS	25
2.7.1 Setting Fly Crosses	25
2.7.2 Collection of Virgin Females.....	25
2.8 SYNTHETIC OLIGONUCLEOTIDES	25
2.9 BUFFERS AND SOLUTIONS	25
2.9.1 Solutions used for Gel Electrophoresis.....	26
2.9.1.1 10 x Gel Loading Dye.....	26
2.9.1.2 1 x TAE Buffer (Tris Acetate EDTA Buffer)	26
2.9.1.3 1 x TBE Buffer (Tris Borate EDTA Buffer).....	26
2.9.2 Solutions used for Preparation of Plasmid or Cosmid DNA	26
2.9.2.1 GTE (Glucose/Tris/EDTA)	26
2.9.2.2 TE Buffer 10/1 (Tris EDTA Buffer).....	26

2.9.2.3 TE Buffer 10/0.1	26
2.9.2.4 Phenol (Tris Equilibrated).....	26
2.9.2.5 Potassium acetate (pH 4.8).....	28
2.9.3 Solutions used for Transformation of DNA.....	28
2.9.3.1 DnD.....	28
2.9.3.2 K-MES	28
2.9.3.3 Transformation Buffer (TFB)	28
2.9.4 Solutions used for Quantitation of DNA.....	28
2.9.4.1 1 x TNE Buffer	28
2.9.4.2 Working Dye Solution B.....	28
2.9.5 Solutions for Colony Lifts and Hybridisation.....	28
2.9.5.1 100 x Denhardt's Solution	28
2.9.5.2 20 x SSC (Standard Saline Citrate).....	29
2.9.5.3 Salmon sperm DNA	29
2.9.5.4 APH (Aqueous Prehybridisation/Hybridisation Solution).....	29
2.9.6 Solutions for Detection with DIG	29
2.9.6.1 Neutralisation Solution (pH 7.4).....	29
2.9.6.2 Denaturation Solution	29
2.9.6.3 Maleic Acid Buffer (pH 7.5).....	29
2.9.6.4 Washing Buffer	29
2.9.6.5 Detection Buffer (pH 9.5)	29
2.9.7 Solutions for Microinjection	30
2.9.7.1 Injection Buffer (pH 6.8)	30
2.9.8 Solutions for β -Galactosidase Assays.....	30
2.9.8.1 β -Galactosidase Assay Buffer.....	30
2.10 PREPARATION OF PLASMID DNA.....	30
2.10.1 Large Scale Preparation of Plasmid or Cosmid DNA.....	30
2.10.2 Small Scale Preparation of Plasmid DNA	31
2.11 PURIFICATION OF DNA	32
2.11.1 Purification of DNA after PCR.....	32
2.11.2 Phenol/Chloroform Extraction of DNA.....	32
2.11.3 Ethanol Precipitation of DNA.....	32
2.12 DETERMINATION OF DNA CONCENTRATION.....	33
2.12.1 Determination by Comparison to DNA Ladder.....	33

2.12.2 Spectrophotometric Determination of DNA Concentration	33
2.12.3 Fluorometric Determination of DNA Concentration	33
2.13 AGAROSE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS	33
2.13.1 DNA Extraction from Seaplaque Agarose	34
2.14 RESTRICTION DIGESTION OF DNA	34
2.14.1 Restriction Enzymes	34
2.14.2 Analytical Restriction Enzyme Digestion	35
2.14.3 Preparative Restriction Digestion	35
2.15 AMPLIFICATION OF DNA BY THE POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION	35
2.16 SUBCLONING	36
2.16.1 Filling in 5' Overhangs with Klenow	36
2.16.2 Removal of 3' or 5' Overhangs with Mung Bean Nuclease	36
2.16.3 Removal of 3' Overhangs with T4 DNA Polymerase	36
2.16.4 Removal of 5' Phosphate Groups from Vector DNA	37
2.16.5 Addition of 5' Phosphates to Oligonucleotides by T4 Kinase	37
2.16.6 Annealing Oligonucleotides	37
2.17 DNA LIGATION	37
2.18 TRANSFORMATION OF PLASMID DNA	38
2.19 COLONY HYBRIDISATION	39
2.19.1 Colony Lifts	39
2.19.2 Preparation of DIG labelled probe	39
2.19.3 Hybridisation and Post Hybridisation Washes	39
2.19.4 Colorimetric Detection with NBT/BCIP	40
2.20 MICROINJECTION OF <i>D. MELANOGASTER</i> EMBRYOS	40
2.20.1 Co-Precipitation of Plasmid DNA	40
2.20.2 Collection of Embryos	41
2.20.3 Dechoriation of Embryos	41
2.20.4 Dehydration of Embryos	41
2.20.5 Microinjection of Embryos	41
2.20.6 Crossing Adult Survivors	42
2.20.7 Identification of Transformants and Establishment of a Transformant Stock ...	42

2.21 DETERMINATION OF CHROMOSOMAL LINKAGE OF INSERT	42
2.22 MOBILISATION OF CONSTRUCT TO NEW GENOMIC SITES	43
2.23 β -GALACTOSIDASE ASSAYS.....	43
2.23.1 Total Protein Assays	44
3. RESULTS	45
3.1 EVALUATION OF THE <i>arm-lacZ</i> ASSAY SYSTEM.....	45
3.1.1 β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of <i>arm-lacZ</i>	45
3.1.2 β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying X-linked Insertions of <i>arm-lacZ</i>	46
3.2 INSULATION OF <i>arm-lacZ</i> WITH SCS AND SCS' ELEMENTS.....	46
3.2.1 Insertion of the SCS and SCS' Elements into a pCaSpeR Vector	46
3.2.2 Insertion of <i>arm-lacZ</i> Between the SCS and SCS' Elements	53
3.2.3 β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF11	57
3.2.4 β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying X-linked Insertions of pHF11	57
3.3 INSERTION OF X-LINKED DNA INTO pHF11	64
3.4 EVALUATION OF THE ROLE OF <i>Sxl</i> IN DOSAGE COMPENSATION.....	74
3.4.1 Insertion of <i>Sxl</i> Binding Sites into pHF11	74
3.4.2 Selection of <i>Sxl</i> Binding Sites.....	74
3.4.3 Reinsertion of <i>arm-lacZ</i> into pHF10.....	76
3.4.4 β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF17	79
3.4.5 β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF19	82
4. DISCUSSION	85
4.1 DEVELOPMENT OF THE <i>arm-lacZ</i> SYSTEM	85
4.1.1 SCS and SCS' Cannot Block Dosage Compensation.....	86

4.1.2 Are SCS and SCS' Good Insulators Against Position Effects?.....	86
4.1.3 Can SCS and SCS' Protect X-linked Transgenes from the Autosomal Chromatin Environment?.....	87
4.2 ISOLATION OF DCRES.....	88
4.2.1 Are Genes Present Within the X-linked Sequences?.....	88
4.2.2 What Could be the Nature of DCREs?.....	91
4.2.3 Conclusion and Future Work.....	92
4.3 EXAMINATION OF DOSAGE COMPENSATION INVOLVING <i>Sxl</i>	93
4.3.1 <i>Sxl</i> Binding Sites Derived from the <i>runt</i> 3' UTR can Partially Down-Regulate <i>lacZ</i> in Females.....	94
4.3.2 Why did only Partial Repression Occur?.....	94
4.3.3 The Distance Between the <i>Sxl</i> Binding Sites May Affect the Ability of <i>Sxl</i> to Down-Regulate.....	95
4.3.4 The Length, Position and Number of <i>Sxl</i> Binding Sites Varies Widely Between Genes.....	96
4.3.5 How does <i>Sxl</i> Protein Promote a Two-Fold Decrease in Translation?.....	96
4.3.6 Conclusion and Future Work.....	97
5. APPENDICES.....	100
1. Physical Maps of Plasmids used in this Study.....	100
2. β -Galactosidase Activities of Transgenic Lines.....	116
3. Genes Containing Three or More <i>Sxl</i> Binding Sites in their 3'UTRs.....	139
6. BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	142

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Insertion of SCS and SCS ' Elements into pCaSpeR-KN.....	51
Figure 2. Insertion of <i>arm-lacZ</i> between SCS and SCS ' of pHF9.....	54
Figure 3. Colony Hybridisation and Analytical Restriction Enzyme Digestion of Positive Clones.....	58
Figure 4. <i>EcoRI</i> Digestion of Cosmid DNA.....	65
Figure 5. Amplification of 170 bp of <i>runt</i> 3 ' UTR by the Polymerase Chain Reaction.....	77

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Bacterial Strain, Plasmids and Cosmids.....	19
Table 2. <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> Fly Stocks.....	23
Table 3. Synthetic Oligonucleotides.....	27
Table 4. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of <i>arm-lacZ</i>	49
Table 5. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying X-linked Insertions of <i>arm-lacZ</i>	51
Table 6. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF11.....	60
Table 7. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying an X-linked Insertion of pHF11.....	62
Table 8. Frequency of P-element Mediated Transformation of Constructs Containing X-linked DNA into <i>D. melanogaster</i> embryos.....	69
Table 9. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF11 Containing Portions of X-linked DNA.....	71
Table 10. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF17.....	80
Table 11. β -Galactosidase Assays of Transgenic Flies Carrying Autosomal Insertions of pHF19.....	83